

# Software Engineer Progression Framework

	Software Engineer I	Software Engineer II	Software Engineer III	Software Engineer IV
Subject Area	Productive Team Member	Productive & Consistent Team Member	Productive, Consistent & Independent Team Member	Productive, Consistent & Independent Leader
<b>Basic Expectations (applies to all)</b>				
<b>conduct</b>	adheres to our code of conduct			
<b>knowledge</b>	demonstrates strong fundamental coding abilities and knowledge			
<b>alignment</b>	follows standard engineering division procedures, such as naming conventions, code reviews, writing documentation, testing software changes, writing tests, etc.			
<b>readiness</b>	writes production quality software			
<b>flexibility</b>	works on front-end and back-end tasks as needed			
<b>engagement</b>	surfaces defects or potential improvement in the sprint backlog			
<b>conscientious</b>	protects the data security and privacy of our organization and customers			
<b>team work</b>	works collaboratively with product and design team members, especially when providing feedback and in sharing knowledge			
<b>rituals</b>	is on time for and actively participates in team rituals such as sprint planning, refinement, stand-ups, and retros			
<b>task management</b>	is proactive about moving, updating, and commenting on assigned tasks			
<b>purpose</b>	strives to do what is necessary over what may be considered more interesting			
<b>Capabilities</b>				
<b>readiness</b>	can achieve most tasks available in a sprint depending on task complexity	can achieve any tasks available in a sprint	can achieve any tasks available in a sprint	can achieve any tasks in a sprint
<b>architecture</b>	able to implement our architecture best practices recommended by others	able to implement our architecture best practices recommended by others or by their initiative	able to implement our architecture best practices	able to implement our architecture best practices and advise others regularly on appropriate architectural decisions. Assists in the documentation of our architecture best practices.
<b>code review quality</b>	when performing code reviews, may benefit from having an additional reviewer also look at PRs	when performing code reviews, may benefit from having an additional reviewer also look at PRs	performs code reviews independently at a high level of quality	performs code reviews independently at a high level of quality, regularly assists other reviewers in achieving thorough reviews
<b>rework</b>	may significantly rework a pull request based on feedback from reviewers	may rework a pull request based on feedback from reviewers	typically makes small changes to pull requests based on feedback from reviewers	typically makes small changes to pull requests based on feedback from reviewers
<b>consistency</b>	achieves a modest velocity, typically experiences significant variance from sprint to sprint	achieves a good velocity, typically experiences small variance from sprint to sprint	achieves a good velocity, usually experiences little variance from sprint to sprint	works productively; does not always work within the Sprint-task framework
<b>outages</b>	usually not assigned to diagnose an ongoing outage or disruptions	sometimes assigned to diagnose an ongoing outage or disruptions	ready to diagnose an ongoing outage or disruptions	ready to diagnose an ongoing outage or disruptions
<b>root cause analysis</b>	unlikely to document a root cause	unlikely to document a root cause	writes root cause analysis reports as needed	writes root cause analysis reports as needed
<b>hot fixes</b>	usually not assigned to an urgent hotfix	sometimes assigned to an urgent hotfix	ready to handle an urgent hotfix	ready to handle an urgent hotfix
<b>Knowledge</b>				
<b>logging</b>	may lack familiarity with effective logging and log querying	has a basic understanding of logging and log querying	adept with useful logging and log querying	adept with useful logging and log querying
<b>profiling</b>	may lack familiarity with tools for software profiling	has a basic knowledge of tools for software profiling	adept in software profiling	proficient in software profiling, advises on the use of these tools
<b>performance measurement</b>	may lack familiarity with tools for software performance measurement	has a basic understanding of tools for software performance measurement	proficient in tools for software performance measurement	adept in tools for software performance measurement, advises on the use of these tools

# Software Engineer Progression Framework

	Software Engineer I	Software Engineer II	Software Engineer III	Software Engineer IV
<b>research</b>	not expected to research or advise on software platforms significantly	not expected to research or advise on software platforms significantly	may research or advise on software platforms	researches and advise on software platforms when necessary
<b>mastery</b>	spends a small percentage of time achieving mastery of primary language and frameworks	spends a small percentage of time achieving mastery of primary language and frameworks	spends a small percentage of time achieving learning the latest features of primary language and features	spends a small percentage of time achieving learning the latest features of primary language and features, advises on the adoption of new features
<b>familiarity</b>	has made significant contributions on at least one product	has made significant contributions at least two products	has made significant contributions at least four products	has made significant contributions at least eight products
<b>Service to Product Team</b>				
<b>task estimation</b>	can provide estimates of most sprint-tasks depending on task complexity	can provide estimates of any sprint-tasks	can provide estimates of any sprint-tasks, can break sprint tasks down into more granular tasks as needed	can provide estimates of any sprint-tasks, can break sprint tasks down into more granular tasks as needed
<b>epic estimation</b>	does not advise on epic level estimation	does not advise on epic level estimation	advises on epic level estimates, may assist in helping to define the scope of an epic	advises on near and long-term epic level estimates may help define the scope of an epic.
<b>investigations</b>	able to perform defect or feasibility investigations depending on the complexity	able to perform defect or feasibility investigations	able to perform defect or feasibility investigations	able to perform defect or feasibility investigations
<b>tech debt advocacy</b>	does not advise on the business value of tech debt	does not advise on the business value of tech debt	may advise on the business value of tech debt	may advise on the business value of tech debt
<b>product management</b>	does not serve as a product manager for technical projects	does not serve as a product manager for technical projects	does not serve as a product manager for technical projects	may function as a product manager for technical projects
<b>DevOps</b>				
<b>team membership</b>	does not participate in the DevOps rotation	may participate in the DevOps rotation	may participate in the DevOps rotation	must participate in the DevOps rotation
<b>continous integration</b>	not expected to work on deployment, build, or code analysis systems	may work on deployment, build, or code analysis systems	works on deployment, build or code analysis systems as needed	works on deployment, build or code analysis systems as needed
<b>Leadership</b>				
<b>hiring</b>	does not participate in the evaluation of potential candidates	does not participate in the evaluation of potential candidates	participates in all stages of the evaluation of potential candidates	participates in all stages of the evaluation of potential candidates
<b>scrum master</b>	does not act as a scrum-master	may act as a scrum-master	may act as a scrum master	may act as a scrum master
<b>tech lead</b>	does not serve as a tech-lead	does not serve as a tech-lead	may serve as a tech lead	may serve as a tech lead
<b>review mediation</b>	does not mediate code review conflicts	does not mediate code review conflicts	may mediate code review conflicts	may mediate code review conflicts
<b>architecture review</b>	does not perform software architecture reviews	does not perform software architecture reviews	may perform software architecture reviews	may perform software architecture reviews
<b>all team presenter</b>	does not present work at all-team events	may present work at all-team events	may present work at all-team events	may present work at all-team events
<b>external representation</b>	does not represent the division in external events	may represent the division in external events	may represent the division in external events	may represent the division in external events